A CORRELATION BETWEEN GYNAECOLOGICAL PARAMETERS AND DERMATOGLYPHICS IN BREAST CANCER PATIENTS, HEALTHY AND HIGH-RISK INDIVIDUALS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE

S. A. Shedge¹, Rahul Rangan², Manoj P Ambali³, S. S. Mohite⁴, S. D. Kadam⁵

¹ Associate Professor & HOD, Department of Anatomy, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India, ambman65@rediffmail.com

²(MBBS) - Corresponding Author, MBBS, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India. rahul.rangan98@yahoo.co.in

³Professor Department of Anatomy, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India. swapna.shedge@gmail.com

⁴Associate Professor Department of Anatomy, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India, dr.sandipmohite@gmail.com

⁵Assistant Professor Department of Anatomy, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India, sheelakadam11@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction:

Breast Cancer is currently one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide. Its gynaecological confounding factors have been very well documented. At the same time, the dermatoglyphic correspondence and its predictive ability to breast cancer have been proved. Therefore, this study aims to find a link between these parameters and the qualitative dermatoglyphic indices.

Methodology:

The study was carried out in 3 groups of 90 age-matched individuals. The groups are breast cancer patients, highrisk individuals and healthy individuals. A thorough reproductive history was taken including factors such as age at menarche, menstrual regularity and age at menopause (if attained), Qualitative dermatoglyphics were procured through the standardised ink and paper method to get a remarkable rolled fingerprint. Results:

Highly significant values (p<0.0001) were found in all gynaecological parameters where a higher frequency of whorls in breast cancer patients, arches in high-risk individuals and an equivalent frequency of arches and whorls were predisposed to healthy individuals.

Conclusion:

This, therefore, paves the way for further research into the prognostic abilities of gynaecological parameters through qualitative dermatoglyphic indices.

Keywords: Breast Neoplasm; Dermatoglyphics; Menarche; Menopause; Case-Control Study;

INTRODUCTION

risk factors are attributed to gynaecological parameters which it a reliable risk-indicative parameter[5]. can increase the risk of breast cancer in an individual by 3%. Reproductive health is one of the most important factors when

responsible for the age of occurrence of menopause[3]. These (1.43) than postmenopausal women. baseline defects could be a factor in increasing the risk of breast In considering dermatoglyphics, the genetic correspondence of cancer. Certain alleles mediate the effect of premenopausal certain qualitative parameters can be predictive of the

hormones in breast cancer[4]. At the same time, strong genetic Breast cancer is known to have a wide array of risk factors linkages have been determined between dermatoglyphics and which could preclude its prevalence in society. Some of these breast cancer. In addition, its stable nature throughout life makes

These variables such as early menarche and late menopause[1] considering the risk factors for gynaecological cancers. To start may have life-long effects and therefore have been known to be with age at menarche, a meta-analysis conducted by the indicative of an increased probability of procuring the disease. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer[6] While these parameters do give insight into the risk assessment showed that the mean age of menarche was 13.1 years and the for breast cancer, studies conducted on dermatoglyphic traits predominance of breast cancer in the age below the mean have also proven to aid in the same[2]. Presentations of these showed a higher predominance of breast cancer with a relative parameters have been found to display a wide array of risk of 1.050 for every year younger than the mean. When taking presentations in individuals. These traits have been attributed to into consideration the same meta-analysis and menopause as the multifactorial characteristics, of which genetics plays a vital risk factor, it was found that when taking 55 as the restricted age aspect. The genetic linkage of defects in DNA repair is of menopause, premenopausal women had a higher relative risk

development of certain diseases whose polygenic inheritance After voluntary informed consent was obtained, a pre-designed negatively impacts conditions in the uterus[7]. This could draw and validated pro forma was used to obtain the demographic in the potential for it to be a predictive modality in closely tied details. Followed by which a thorough reproductive history was relations to gynaecological determinants in breast cancer.

Materials and Methods:

The case-control study was carried out in a tertiary health care setting under the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, standardised ink and paper method for each individual to ensure and Anatomy over 4 months. The sample required for the study a clear, remarkable rolled fingerprint was obtained from every was obtained using the formula:

$$n = \frac{(p_1q_1 + p_2q_2) * (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{(p_1 - q_1)^2}$$

According to the research conducted by Sakineh Abbasi et al.[8] The minimum number of candidates required in the three groups was found to be 90 age-matched individuals with 95% confidence and 80% power.

Inclusion Criteria: Histo-pathologically confirmed, female, breast cancer patients were taken for the study whose age group was restricted from 30 to 60 years. After this, age-matched highrisk and healthy individuals were included in the study. To define the high-risk group, certain parameters were listed. Individuals who met any 2 of these criteria or who had a firstdegree relative who had a previous history of breast cancer were included. The parameters were :

1. Breast Condition, or non-cosmetic breast condition.

Menarche before the age of 13[6]. 2.

3. Menopause above the age of 50[6].

(Only those individuals/patients who gave voluntary informed consent were included)

Exclusion Criteria: Those who failed to meet the inclusion criteria or who presented with inflammatory or benign breast lesions were excluded.

taken, in the presence of a female nurse, for every patient which included factors such as age at menarche, menstrual regularity and age at menopause (if attained).

Qualitative dermatoglyphics were then procured through the finger on both hands.

Statistical Analysis:

The variations in gynaecological and obstetric parameters and qualitative dermatoglyphic patterns were studied on the numbers software and their significance was computed using the chi-square test on InStat software where p values < 0.05 were considered significant with a 95% confidence interval.

Results:

Four patterns of dermatoglyphics were discerned across the three groups which were whorls, arches, ulnar loops and radial loops. Chi-square tests were used to analyse the gynaecological parameters and the qualitative dermatoglyphic pattern on both hands across all fingers.

The first parameter taken into consideration was the age of menarche (*Table 1*) with a cut-off age of 13. The number of Any previous history of surgery for any Non-cancerous individuals who had attained menarche before the age of 13 in all three groups was: 12 in the breast cancer group, 32 in the high-risk group and 23 in the healthy individual group. The number of individuals who had attained menarche above and including 13 years of age was: 78 in the breast cancer group, 58 in the high-risk group and 67 in the healthy individual group.

Age at Menarche	Hand	Finger Print	Breast Cancer Patients	High-Risk Individuals	Normal Individuals	Chi- Square	Degrees of Freedom	P-value
<13	Right	Whorl	46 (76.67%)	50 (31.25%)	64 (55.65%)	42.250	6	<0.0001
		Arch	11 (18.33%)	102 (63.75%)	46 (40%)			
		Ulnar Loop	1 (1.67%)	3 (1.88%)	2 (1.74%)			
		Radial Loop	2 (3.33%)	5 (3.13%)	3 (2.61%)			
	Left	Whorl	50 (83.33%)	50 (31.25%)	60 (52.17%)	50.947	6	<0.0001
		Arch	7 (11.67%)	99 (61.88%)	47 (40.87%)			
		Ulnar Loop	1 (1.67%)	5 (3.13%)	4 (3.48%)			
		Radial Loop	2 (3.33%)	6 (3.75%)	4 (3.48%)			
>= 13	Right	Whorl	288 (73.85%)	77 (26.55%)	169 (50.45%)	168.61	6	<0.0001
		Arch	75 (19.23%)	195 (67.24%)	152 (45.37%)			
		Ulnar Loop	14 (3.59%)	8 (2.76%)	9 (2.69%)			
		Radial Loop	13 (3.33%)	10 (3.45%)	5 (1.49%)			
	Left	Whorl	301 (77.18%)	102 (35.17%)	178 (53.13%)	182.29	6	<0.0001
		Arch	44 (11.28%)	171 (58.97%)	142 (42.39%)			
		Ulnar Loop	19 (4.87%)	8 (2.76%)	9 (2.69%)			
		Radial Loop	26 (6.67%)	9 (3.1%)	6 (1.79%)			

Table 1: Univariate analysis between the age of menarche and qualitative dermatoglyphic patterns across the three groups

For individuals who had attained menarche below 13 years of cancer, followed by 102 (63.75%) frequency of arches in highage:

Highly significant values were obtained on both hands. On the the group of healthy individuals. On the left hand ($\chi 2 = 50.947$; right hand ($\chi 2 = 42.25$; df = 6; p=<0.0001), 46 (76.67%) was the df = 6; p=<0.0001) similar trends were found where breast highest frequency of displaying whorls in patients with breast cancer patients displayed the highest frequency of whorls - 50

risk individuals and 64 (55.65%) whorls and 46 (40%) arches in

(83.33%), while 99 (61.88%) arches were exhibited by high-risk trend seemed to be repeated. 301 (77.18%) whorls were found individuals and healthy individuals had identical frequencies in in breast cancer patients while 171 (58.97%) arches in high-risk whorls - 60 (52.17%) and arches - 47 (40.87%).

For individuals who had attained menarche above and including 13 years of age:

On the right hand ($\chi 2 = 168.61$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) highly significant values were obtained. 288 (73.85%) whorls were the high-risk individuals and 82 healthy individuals. Irregular predominating frequency in the group of breast cancer, followed menses were found in 12 patients with breast cancer, 9 high-risk by 195 (67.24%) in high-risk individuals with arches and 169 individuals and 8 healthy individuals. (50.45%) whorls in healthy individuals and 152 (45.37%) arches. On the left hand ($\chi 2 = 182.29$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) the

individuals and healthy individuals had 178 (53.13%) whorls and 142 (42.39%) arches.

The second parameter was menstrual regularity (Table 2). Regular menses were found in 78 patients with breast cancer, 81

Menstrual Regularity	Hand	Finger Print	Breast Cancer Patients	High-Risk Individuals	Normal Individuals	Chi- Square	Degrees of Freedom	P-value
Regular	Right	Whorl	293 (75.13%)	114 (28.15%)	207 (50.49%)	192.36	6	<0.0001
		Arch	72 (18.46%)	268 (66.17%)	184 (44.88%)			
		Ulnar Loop	13 (3.33%)	10 (2.47%)	11 (2.68%)			
		Radial Loop	12 (3.08%)	13 (3.21%)	8 (1.95%)			
	Left	Whorl	306 (78.46%)	133 (32.84%)	224 (54.63%)	206.47	6	<0.0001
		Arch	46 (11.79%)	244 (60.25%)	165 (40.24%)			
		Ulnar Loop	17 (4.36%)	13 (3.21%)	12 (2.93%)			
		Radial Loop	21 (5.38%)	15 (3.7%)	9 (2.2%)			
Irregular	Right	Whorl	41 (68.33%)	13 (28.89%)	26 (65%)	22.759	6	0.0009
		Arch	14 (23.33%)	29 (64.44%)	14 (35%)			
		Ulnar Loop	2 (3.33%)	1 (2.22%)	0 (0%)			
		Radial Loop	3 (5%)	2 (4.44%)	0 (0%)			
	Left	Whorl	45 (75%)	19 (42.22%)	14 (35%)	42.028	6	
		Arch	5 (8.33%)	26 (57.78%)	qu			<0.0001
		Ulnar Loop	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.5%)			
		Radial Loop	7 (11.67%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.5%)			

Table 2: Comparative analysis between the menstrual regularity and qualitative dermatoglyphic patterns across three groups

For individuals who had regular menses:

192.36; df = 6; p = < 0.0001) revealed the highest frequencies of individuals, and 26 (65%) whorls were found in normal whorls with 293 (75.13%) in breast cancer patients, while 268 individuals. The left hand ($\chi 2 = 42.028$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) (66.17%) arches were exhibited in high-risk individuals, and showed the highest frequencies with 45 (75%) whorls in patients 207 (50.49%) whorls and 184 (44.88%) arches were expressed with breast cancer, 26 (57.78%) arches in high-risk individuals in normal individuals. The left hand ($\chi 2 = 206.47$; df = 6; and 24 (60%) whorls in normal individuals. p=<0.0001) also set forth identical findings with 306 (78.46%) The last criterion included was the attainment of menopause as whorls in patients with breast cancer, 244 (60.25%) arches in shown in Table 3. 39 breast cancer patients, 36 high-risk high-risk individuals and 224 (54.63%) whorls and 165 individuals and 60 healthy individuals had attained menopause. (40.24%) arches in normal individuals.

For individuals who had irregular menses:

Noteworthy contributions were obtained on both hands. The right hand ($\chi 2 = 22.759$; df = 6; p=0.0009) revealed the highest

frequencies of whorls with 41 (68.33%) in breast cancer Notable values were found on both hands. The right hand (χ^2 = patients, while 29 (64.44%) arches were exhibited in high-risk

> Those who hadn't were 51 patients with breast cancer, 54 highrisk individuals and 30 healthy individuals.

Table 3: Univariate analysis between the attainment of menopause and qualitative dermatoglyphic patterns across the three groups

Menopause Attained	Hand	Finger Print	Breast Cancer Patients	High-Risk Individuals	Normal Individuals	Chi- Square	Degrees of Freedom	P-value
Yes	Right	Whorl	149 (76.41%)	56 (31.11%)	159 (53%)	87.989	6	<0.0001
		Arch	34 (17.44%)	116 (64.44%)	127 (42.33%)			
		Ulnar Loop	8 (4.1%)	4 (2.22%)	7 (2.33%)			
		Radial						
		Loop	4 (2.05%)	4 (2.22%)	7 (2.33%)			
	Left	Whorl	150 (76.92%)	60 (33.33%)	155 (51.67%)	109.40	6	< 0.0001

		Arch	23 (11.79%)	110 (61.11%)	133 (44.33%)			
		Ulnar Loop	9 (4.62%)	8 (4.44%)	4 (1.33%)			
		Radial						
		Loop	13 (6.67%)	2 (1.11%)	8 (2.67%)			
		Whorl	185 (72.55%)	71 (26.3%)	74 (49.33%)	125.26	6	<0.0001
No	Right	Arch	52 (20.39%)	181 (67.04%)	71 (47.33%)			
		Ulnar Loop	7 (2.75%)	7 (2.59%)	4 (2.67%)			
		Radial						
		Loop	11 (4.31%)	11 (4.07%)	1 (0.67%)			
INO	Left	Whorl	201 (78.82%)	92 (34.07%)	83 (55.33%)	- 141.21	6	<0.0001
		Arch	28 (10.98%)	160 (59.26%)	56 (37.33%)			
		Ulnar Loop	11 (4.31%)	5 (1.85%)	9 (6%)			
		Radial						
		Loop	15 (5.88%)	13 (4.81%)	2 (1.33%)			

For individuals who had attained menopause:

hand ($\chi 2 = 87.989$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) revealed the highest which was the age at menarche where the cut-off limit was set frequencies of 149 (76.41%) whorls in breast cancer patients, as the age of 13. This was done in correspondence with the metawhile 116 (64.44%) arches in high-risk individuals and 159 analysis carried out by the Collaborative Group on Hormonal (53%) whorls in normal individuals were obtained. The left Factors in Breast Cancer where the mean age of menarche was hand ($\gamma 2 = 109.4$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) also found identical 13.1 years and increased risk of breast cancer in the age below findings with 150 (76.92%) whorls in patients with breast the mean showed a higher predominance of breast cancer with cancer, 110 (61.11%) arches in high-risk individuals and 155 a relative risk of 1.050 for every year younger than the mean. (51.67%) whorls in normal individuals.

For individuals who hadn't attained menopause:

right hand ($\chi 2 = 125.26$; df = 6; p=0.0009) expressed the highest amongst the three groups of individuals. On taking the second frequencies of 185 (72.55%) whorls in breast cancer patients, parameter (i.e. menstrual regularity) into consideration, while 181 (67.04%) arches were exhibited in high-risk noteworthy values were found in the ability to forecast the individuals. The left hand ($\chi 2 = 141.21$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) incidence of breast cancer in women below the age of 40 who showed the highest frequencies of 201 (78.82%) whorls in have had irregular menstrual cycles, which can be attributed to patients with breast cancer, 160 (59.26%) arches in high-risk variable metabolic and hormonal factors[10]. individuals and 83 (55.33%) whorls in normal individuals.

Discussion:

Breast cancer is known for its multifactorial risk factors with women had a higher relative risk (1.43) than postmenopausal most researchers focusing on these parameters for early women. This study found statistically significant results when detection. One such factor, dermatoglyphic patterns, elicited the comparing the right hand ($\chi 2 = 87.989$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) and predilection of certain patterns with the likelihood of breast left hand ($\chi^2 = 109.4$; df = 6; p=<0.0001) with the cancer. This study found the frequency of whorls to have a premenopausal status where whorls predominated in both hands higher predominance in the group of patients with breast cancer in the breast cancer group while arches had a higher frequency while high-risk individuals had a higher frequency of arches in high-risk individuals. followed by healthy individuals who displayed higher percentages of whorls followed closely by arches. These results **Conclusion:** are comparable with studies which have been conducted[8]. Despite previous attempts failing to link dermatoglyphic Another factor that proves a significant barrier in indices and gynaecological parameters, this study found a dermatoglyphics is the ethnic differences[9] which could go on significant correlation between the variables in the three the explain the varying results of frequencies when comparing distinct groups of healthy individuals, high-risk individuals and this study with others from different ethnic and racial groups. breast cancer patients. Furthermore, studies including the Bosnian-Herzegovinian Population[2] where quantitative parameters were assessed such **References** as the ATD-angle or even others where ridge counts have been 1. employed to be better indicators for the prediction of breast Jiang J, Yao PP, Zhu HP. Risk Factors and Preventions of cancer[8].

worldwide as indicators for breast cancer. This study, therefore, PMC5715522.

employed 7 such independent parameters to compare with the Noteworthy contributions were found on both hands. The right predictability model provided by dermatoglyphics. The first of This study found highly significant values in the univariate analyses comparing the two models where both factors could Noteworthy contributions were obtained on both hands. The predict the increased risk of breast cancer with a p<0.0001individuals, and 74 (49.33%) whorls were found in normal disposition of breast cancer. Studies have found a lower

Finally, menopause was considered a parameter with dermatoglyphics. The previously mentioned meta-analysis also considered menopause as a risk factor where premenopausal

Sun YS, Zhao Z, Yang ZN, Xu F, Lu HJ, Zhu ZY, Shi W, Breast Cancer. Int J Biol Sci. 2017 Nov 1;13(11):1387-1397. Gynaecological variables have been documented thoroughly doi: 10.7150/ijbs.21635. PMID: 29209143; PMCID:

2. Metovic A, Musanovic J, Alicelebic S, Pepic E, Sljuka S, Mulic M. Predictive Analysis of Palmar Dermatoglyphics in Patients with Breast Cancer for Small Bosnian-Herzegovinian Population. Med Arch. 2018 Nov;72(5):357-361. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2018.72.357-361. PMID: 30524169; PMCID: PMC6282914.

3. Laven JS. Genetics of Early and Normal Menopause. Semin Reprod Med. 2015 Nov;33(6):377-83. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1567825. Epub 2015 Nov 16. PMID: 26569518.

4. Johnson, N., Maguire, S., Morra, A. et al. CYP3A7*1C allele: linking premenopausal oestrone and progesterone levels with risk of hormone receptor-positive breast cancers. Br J Cancer 124, 842–854 (2021).

5. Chintamani, Khandelwal, R., Mittal, A. et al. Qualitative and quantitative dermatoglyphic traits in patients with breast cancer: a prospective clinical study. BMC Cancer 7, 44 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-7-44

6. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Menarche, menopause, and breast cancer risk:

individual participant meta-analysis, including 118 964 women with breast cancer from 117 epidemiological studies. The Lancet Oncology; 2012; Volume 13, Issue 11; 1141-1151.

7. Bhat, Ghulam & MA, Mukhdoomi & Shah, Bahir & Itoo, Mohd. (2014). Dermatoglyphics: in health and disease - a review. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2. 31. 10.5455/2320-6012.ijrms20140207.

8. Sakineh Abbasi, Nahid Einollahi, Nasrin Dashti & F. Vaez-Zadeh. Study of Dermatoglyphic Patterns of Hands in Women with Breast Cancer. Pak J Med Sci January-March 2006 Vol. 22 No. 1 18-22

9. Ojigho EJJ, Odokuma IE, Igbigbi PS. Comparative Study of Fingerprint Patterns of Two Ethnic Groups: A Nigerian Study. JCMS Nepal. 2019; 15(4):270-5

10. Terry KL, Willett WC, Rich-Edwards JW, Hunter DJ, Michels KB. Menstrual cycle characteristics and incidence of premenopausal breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005 Jun;14(6):1509-13. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0051. PMID: 15941964; PMCID: PMC2293279.